

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

**Language Input Architecture For Converting One Text
Form to Another Text Form With Modeless Entry**

Inventor(s):
Kai-fu Lee
Zheng Chen
Jian Han

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. MS1-564US

00505907-053000

00606907-062000

1 **RELATED CASES**

2 The present application claims benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No.
3 60/163,902, filed November 5, 1999.

4 The present application is a co-pending with U.S. Patent Application Serial
5 No. _____, filed concurrently herewith on _____, entitled "Language
6 Input User Interface" and with U.S. Patent Application Serial No. _____, filed
7 concurrently herewith on _____, entitled "Language Input Architecture For
8 Converting One Text Form to Another Text Form With Tolerance To Spelling,
9 Typographical, And Conversion Errors". Both applications are incorporated
10 herein by reference.

11
12 **TECHNICAL FIELD**

13 The invention relates to a language input method and system. More
14 particularly, the invention provides language input method and system that has
15 error tolerance for both typographical errors that occur during text entry and
16 conversion errors that occur during conversion from one language form to another
17 language form.

18
19 **BACKGROUND**

20 Language specific word processing software has existed for many years.
21 More sophisticated word processors offer users advanced tools, such as spelling
22 and grammar correction, to assist in drafting documents. Many word processors,
23 for example, can identify words that are misspelled or sentence structures that are
24 grammatically incorrect and, in some cases, automatically correct the identified
25 errors.

1 Generally speaking, there are two causes for errors being introduced into a
2 text. One cause is that the user simply does not know the correct spelling or
3 sentence structure. Word processors can offer suggestions to aid the user in
4 choosing a correct spelling or phraseology. The second and more typical cause of
5 errors is that the user incorrectly enters the words or sentences into the computer,
6 even though he/she knew the correct spelling or grammatical construction. In
7 such situations, word processors are often quite useful at identifying the
8 improperly entered character strings and correcting them to the intended word or
9 phrase.

10 Entry errors are often more prevalent in word processors designed for
11 languages that do not employ Roman characters. Language specific keyboards,
12 such as the English version QWERTY keyboards, do not exist for many languages
13 because such languages have many more characters than can be conveniently
14 arranged as keys in the keyboard. For example, many Asian languages contain
15 thousands of characters. It is practically impossible to build a keyboard to support
16 separate keys for so many different characters.

17 Rather than designing expensive language and dialect specific keyboards,
18 language specific word processing systems allow the user to enter phonetic text
19 from a small character-set keyboard (e.g., a QWERTY keyboard) and convert that
20 phonetic text to language text. "Phonetic text" represents the sounds made when
21 speaking a given language, whereas the "language text" represents the actual
22 written characters as they appear in the text. In the Chinese language, for
23 example, Pinyin is an example of phonetic text and Hanzi is an example of the
24 language text. By converting the phonetic text to language text, many different
25

1 languages can be processed by the language specific word processor using
2 conventional computers and standard QWERTY keyboards.

3 Word processors that require phonetic entry thus experience two types of
4 potential entry errors. One type of error is common typing mistakes. However,
5 event if the text is free of typographical errors, another type of error is that the
6 word processing engine might incorrectly convert the phonetic text to an
7 unintended character text. When both of these two problems are at work on the
8 same phonetic text input string, a cascade of multiple errors may result. In some
9 situations, the typing induced errors may not be readily traced without a lengthy
10 investigation of the entire context of the phrase or sentence.

11 The invention described herein is directed primarily to the former type of
12 entry errors made by the user when typing in the phonetic text, but also provide
13 tolerance for conversion errors made by the word processing engine. To better
14 demonstrate the problems associated with such typing errors, consider a Chinese-
15 based word processor that converts the phonetic text, Pinyin, to a language text,
16 Hanzi.

17 There are several reasons why entry of phonetic text often yields increased
18 typing errors. One reason is that the average typing accuracy on an English
19 keyboard is lower in China than in English-speaking countries. A second reason is
20 that phonetic text is not used all that frequently. During earlier education years,
21 users are not as prone to study and learn phonetic spelling as, for example,
22 English-speaking users are taught to spell words in English.

23 A third reason for increased typing errors during phonetic text input is that
24 many people speak natively in a regional dialect, as opposed to a standard dialect.
25 The standard dialect, which is the origin of phonetic text, is a second language. In

1 certain dialects and accents, spoken words may not match corresponding proper
2 phonetic text, thus making it more difficult for a user to type phonetic text. For
3 instance, many Chinese speak various Chinese dialects as their first language and
4 are taught Mandarin Chinese, which is the origin of Pinyin, as a second language.
5 In some Chinese dialects, for example, there is no differentiation in pronouncing
6 “h” and “w” in certain contexts; in other dialects, the same can be said for “ng”
7 and “n”; and yet in others, “r” is not articulated. As a result, a Chinese user who
8 speaks Mandarin as a second language may be prone to typing errors when
9 attempting to enter Pinyin.

10 Another possible reason for increased typing errors is that it is difficult to
11 check for errors while typing phonetic text. This is due in part to the fact that
12 phonetic text tends to be long, unreadable strings of characters that are difficult to
13 read. In contrast to English-based text input, where what you see is what you
14 typed, entered phonetic text is often not “what you see is what you get.” Rather,
15 the word processor converts the phonetic text to language text. As a result, users
16 generally do not examine the phonetic text for errors, but rather wait until the
17 phonetic text is converted to the language text.

18 For this last reason, a typing error can be exceptionally annoying in the
19 context of Pinyin entry. Pinyin character strings are very difficult to review and
20 correct because there is no spacing between characters. Instead, the Pinyin
21 characters run together regardless of the number of words being formed by the
22 Pinyin characters. In addition, Pinyin-to-Hanzi conversion often does not occur
23 immediately, but continues to formulate correct interpretations as additional Pinyin
24 text is entered. Thus, if a user types in the wrong Pinyin symbols, the single error
25 may be compounded by the conversion process and propagated downstream to

1 cause several additional errors. As a result, error correction takes longer because
2 by the time the system converts decisively to Hanzi characters and then the user
3 realizes there has been an error, the user is forced to backspace several times just
4 to make one correction. In some systems, the original error cannot even be
5 revealed.

6 Since mistakes are expected to be made frequently during phonetic input,
7 there is a need for a system that can tolerate errors in the phonetic input. It is
8 desirable that the system would return the correct answer even though the phonetic
9 string contains slightly erroneous characters.

10 Language specific word processors face another problem, separate from the
11 entry problem, which concerns switching modes between two languages in order
12 to input words from the different language into the same text. It is common, for
13 example, to draft a document in Chinese that includes English words, such as
14 technical terms (e.g., Internet) and terms that are difficult to translate (e.g.,
15 acronyms, symbols, surnames, company names, etc.). Conventional word
16 processors require a user to switch modes from one language to the other language
17 when entering the different words. Thus, when a user wants to enter a word from
18 a different language, the user must stop thinking about text input, switch the mode
19 from one language to another, enter the word, and then switch the mode back to
20 the first language. This significantly reduces the user's typing speed and requires
21 the user to shift his/her attention between the text input task and an extraneous
22 control task of changing language modes.

23 Accordingly, there is a need for a "modeless" system that does not require
24 mode switching. To avoid modes, the system should be able to detect the
25

1 language that is being typed, and then convert the letter sequence to one language
2 or the other, dynamically, on a word-by-word basis.

3 This is not as easy as it may seem, however, because many character strings
4 may be appropriate in both contexts. For example, many valid English words are
5 also valid Pinyin strings. Furthermore, more ambiguities may arise since there are
6 no spaces between Chinese characters, and between Chinese and English words,
7 during Pinyin input.

8 As an example, when a user types a string of Pinyin input text
9 "woshiyigezhongguoren", the system converts this string into Chinese character: "
10 我是一个中国人" (generally translated to "I am a Chinese").

11 Sometimes, instead of typing "woshiyigezhongguoren", a user types the
12 following:

13
14 wosiyigezhongguoren (the error is the "sh" and "s" confusion);

15 woshiyigezongguoren (the error is the "zh" and "z" confusion);

16 woshiygezhongguoren (the error is the "i" omission after "y");

17 woshiyigezhonggouren (the error is the "ou" juxtaposition);

18 woshiyigezhongguiren (the error is the "i" and "o" confusion).

19
20 The inventors have developed a word processing system and method that
21 makes spell correction feasible for difficult foreign languages, such as Chinese,
22 and allows modeless entry of multiple languages through automatic language
23 recognition.

SUMMARY

A language input architecture converts input strings of phonetic text (e.g., Chinese Pinyin) to an output string of language text (e.g., Chinese Hanzi) in a manner that minimizes typographical errors and conversion errors that occur during conversion from the phonetic text to the language text. The language input architecture may be implemented in a wide variety of areas, including word processing programs, email programs, spreadsheets, browsers, and the like.

In one implementation, the language input architecture has a user interface to receive an input string of characters, symbols, or other text elements. The input string may include phonetic text and non-phonetic text, as well as one or more languages. The user interface allows the user to enter the input text string in a single edit line without switching modes between entry of different text forms or different languages. In this manner, the language input architecture offers modeless entry of multiple languages for user convenience.

The language input architecture also has a search engine, one or more typing models, a language model, and one or more lexicons for different languages. The search engine receives the input string from the user interface and distributes the input string to the one or more typing models. Each typing model is configured to generate a list of probable typing candidates that may be substituted for the input string based on typing error probabilities of how likely each of the candidate strings was incorrectly entered as the input string. The probable typing candidates may be stored in a database.

The typing model is trained from data collected from many trainers who enter a training text. For instance, in the context of the Chinese language, the trainers enter a training text written in Pinyin. The observed errors made during

entry of the training text are used to compute the probabilities associated with the typing candidates that may be used to correct the typing error. Where multiple typing models are employed, each typing model may be trained in a different language.

In one implementation, the typing model may be trained by reading strings of input text and mapping syllables to corresponding typed letters of each string. A frequency count expressing the number of times each typed letter is mapped to one of the syllables is kept and the probability of typing for each syllable is computed from the frequency count.

The typing model returns a set of probable typing candidates that account for possible typographical errors that exist in the input string. The typing candidates are written in the same language or text form as the input string.

The search engine passes the typing candidates to the language model, which provides probable conversion strings for each of the typing candidates. More particularly, the language model is a trigram language model that attempts to determine a language text probability of how likely a probable conversion output string represents the candidate string based on two previous textual elements. The conversion string is written in a different language or different text form than the input string. For example, the input string might comprise Chinese Pinyin or other phonetic text and the output string might comprise Chinese Hanzi or other language text.

Based upon the probabilities derived in the typing and language models, the search engine selects the associated typing candidate and conversion candidate that exhibits the highest probability. The search engine converts the input string (e.g., written in phonetic text) to an output string consisting of the conversion

1 candidate returned from the language model so that the entered text form (e.g.,
2 phonetic text) is replaced with another text form (e.g., language text). In this
3 manner, any entry error made by the user during entry of the phonetic text is
4 eliminated.

5 Where multiple languages are used, the output string may have a
6 combination of the conversion candidate as well as portions of the input string
7 (without conversion). An example of this latter case is where the Chinese-based
8 language input architecture outputs both converted Pinyin-to-Hanzi text along
9 with non-converted English text.

10 The user interface displays the output string in the same edit line that
11 continues to be used for entry of the input string. In this manner, the conversion is
12 taking place automatically and concurrently with the user entering additional text.

13 14 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

15 The same numbers are used throughout the Figures to reference like
16 components and features.

17 Fig. 1 is a block diagram of a computer system having a language-specific
18 word processor that implements a language input architecture.

19 Fig. 2 is a block diagram of one exemplary implementation of the language
20 input architecture.

21 Fig. 3 is a diagrammatic illustration of a text string that is parsed or
22 segmented into different sets of syllables, and candidates that may be used to
23 replace those syllables assuming the text string contains errors.

24 Fig. 4 is a flow diagram illustrating a general conversion operation
25 performed by the language input architecture.

1 Fig. 5 is a block diagram of a training computer used to train probability-
2 based models employed in the language input architecture.

3 Fig. 6 is a flow diagram illustrating one training technique.

4 Fig. 7 is a block diagram of another exemplary implementation of the
5 language input architecture, in which multiple typing models are employed.

6 Fig. 8 is a flow diagram illustrating a multilingual conversion process.

7 8 **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

9 The invention pertains to a language input system and method that converts
10 one form of a language (e.g., phonetic version) to another form of the language
11 (e.g., written version). The system and method have error tolerance for spelling
12 and typographical errors that occur during text entry and conversion errors that
13 occur during conversion from one language form to another language form. For
14 discussion purposes, the invention is described in the general context of word
15 processing programs executed by a general-purpose computer. However, the
16 invention may be implemented in many different environments other than word
17 processing and may be practiced on many diverse types of devices. Other contexts
18 might include email programs, spreadsheets, browsers, and the like.

19 The language input system employs a statistical language model to achieve
20 very high accuracy. In one exemplary implementation, the language input
21 architecture uses statistical language modeling with automatic, maximum-
22 likelihood-based methods to segment words, select a lexicon, filter training data,
23 and derive a best possible conversion candidate.

24 Statistical sentence-based language modeling assumes, however, that a
25 user's input is perfect. In reality, there are many typing and spelling errors in the

1 user's input. Accordingly, the language input architecture includes one or more
2 typing models that utilize probabilistic spelling models to accept correct typing
3 while tolerating common typing and spelling errors. The typing models may be
4 trained for multiple languages, such as English and Chinese, to discern how likely
5 the input sequence is a word in one language as opposed to another language.
6 Both models can run in parallel and are guided by the language model (e.g., a
7 Chinese language model) to output the most likely sequence of characters (i.e.,
8 English and Chinese characters).

10 Exemplary Computer System

11 Fig. 1 shows an exemplary computer system 100 having a central
12 processing unit (CPU) 102, a memory 104, and an input/output (I/O) interface
13 106. The CPU 102 communicates with the memory 104 and I/O interface 106.
14 The memory 104 is representative of both volatile memory (e.g., RAM) and non-
15 volatile memory (e.g., ROM, hard disk, etc.).

16 The computer system 100 has one or more peripheral devices connected via
17 the I/O interface 106. Exemplary peripheral devices include a mouse 110, a
18 keyboard 112 (e.g., an alphanumeric QWERTY keyboard, a phonetic keyboard,
19 etc.), a display monitor 114, a printer 116, a peripheral storage device 118, and a
20 microphone 120. The computer system may be implemented, for example, as a
21 general-purpose computer. Accordingly, the computer system 100 implements a
22 computer operating system (not shown) that is stored in memory 104 and executed
23 on the CPU 102. The operating system is preferably a multi-tasking operating
24 system that supports a windowing environment. An example of a suitable
25

1 operating system is a Windows brand operating system from Microsoft
2 Corporation.

3 It is noted that other computer system configurations may be used, such as
4 hand-held devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based or
5 programmable consumer electronics, network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe
6 computers, and the like. In addition, although a standalone computer is illustrated
7 in Fig. 1, the language input system may be practiced in distributed computing
8 environments where tasks are performed by remote processing devices that are
9 linked through a communications network (e.g., LAN, Internet, etc.). In a
10 distributed computing environment, program modules may be located in both local
11 and remote memory storage devices.

12 A data or word processing program 130 is stored in memory 104 and
13 executed on CPU 102. Other programs, data, files, and such may also be stored in
14 memory 104, but are not shown for ease of discussion. The word processing
15 program 130 is configured to receive phonetic text and convert it automatically to
16 language text. More particularly, the word processing program 130 implements a
17 language input architecture 131 that, for discussion purposes, is implemented as
18 computer software stored in memory and executable on a processor. The word
19 processing program 130 may include other components in addition to the
20 architecture 131, but such components are considered standard to word processing
21 programs and will not be shown or described in detail.

22 The language input architecture 131 of word processing program 130 has a
23 user interface (UI) 132, a search engine 134, one or more typing models 135, a
24 language model 136, and one or more lexicons 137 for various languages. The
25 architecture 131 is language independent. The UI 132 and search engine 134 are

1 generic and can be used for any language. The architecture 131 is adapted to a
2 particular language by changing the language model 136, the typing model 135
3 and the lexicon 137.

4 The search engine 134 and language module 136 together form a phonetic
5 text-to-language text converter 138. With the assistance of typing model 135, the
6 converter 138 becomes tolerant to user typing and spelling errors. For purposes of
7 this disclosure, "text" means one or more characters and/or non-character symbols.
8 "Phonetic text" generally refers to an alphanumeric text representing sounds made
9 when speaking a given language. A "language text" is the characters and non-
10 character symbols representative of a written language. "Non-phonetic text" is
11 alphanumeric text that does not represent sounds made when speaking a given
12 language. Non-phonetic text might include punctuation, special symbols, and
13 alphanumeric text representative of a written language other than the language
14 text.

15 Perhaps more generally stated, phonetic text may be any alphanumeric text
16 represented in a Roman-based character set (e.g., English alphabet) that represents
17 sounds made when speaking a given language that, when written, does not employ
18 the Roman-based character set. Language text is the written symbols
19 corresponding to the given language.

20 For discussion purposes, word processor 130 is described in the context of
21 a Chinese-based word processor and the language input architecture 131 is
22 configured to convert Pinyin to Hanzi. That is, the phonetic text is Pinyin and the
23 language text is Hanzi. However, the language input architecture is language
24 independent and may be used for other languages. For example, the phonetic text
25 may be a form of spoken Japanese, whereas the language text is representative of a

1 Conversion from phonetic text to language text is not a one-for-one
2 conversion. The same or similar phonetic text might represent a number of
3 characters or symbols in the language text. Thus, the context of the phonetic text
4 is interpreted before conversion to language text. On the other hand, conversion
5 of non-phonetic text will typically be a direct one-to-one conversion wherein the
6 alphanumeric text displayed is the same as the alphanumeric input.

7 The conversion candidates (CC_1, \dots, CC_N) are passed back to the search
8 engine 134, which performs statistical analysis to determine which of the typing
9 and conversion candidates exhibit the highest probability of being intended by the
10 user. Once the probabilities are computed, the search engine 134 selects the
11 candidate with the highest probability and returns the language text of the
12 conversion candidate to the UI 132. The UI 132 then replaces the phonetic text
13 with the language text of the conversion candidate in the same line of the display.
14 Meanwhile, newly entered phonetic text continues to be displayed in the line
15 ahead of the newly inserted language text.

16 If the user wishes to change language text from the one selected by the
17 search engine 134, the user interface 132 presents a first list of other high
18 probability candidates ranked in order of the likelihood that the choice is actually
19 the intended answer. If the user is still dissatisfied with the possible candidates,
20 the UI 132 presents a second list that offers all possible choices. The second list
21 may be ranked in terms of probability or other metric (e.g., stroke count or
22 complexity in Chinese characters).
23
24
25

Language Input Architecture

Fig. 2 illustrates the language input architecture 131 in more detail. The architecture 131 supports error tolerance for language input, including both typographical errors and conversion errors. In addition to the UI 132, search engine 134, language model 136, and typing model 135, the architecture 131 further includes an editor 204 and a sentence context model 216. A sentence context model 216 is coupled to the search engine 134.

The user interface 132 receives input text, such as phonetic text (e.g. Chinese Pinyin text) and non-phonetic text (e.g., English), from one or more peripheral devices (e.g., keyboard, mouse, microphone) and passes the input text to the editor 204. The editor 204 requests that the search engine 132, in conjunction with the typing model 135 and language model 136, convert the input text into an output text, such as a language text (e.g. Chinese Hanzi text). The editor 204 passes the output text back to the UI 132 for display.

Upon receiving a string of input text from the user interface 132, the search engine 134 sends the string of input text to one or more of the typing models 135 and to the sentence context model 216. The typing model 135 measures *a priori* probability of typing errors in the input text. The typing model 135 generates and outputs probable typing candidates for the input text entered by the user, effectively seeking to cure entry errors (e.g., typographical errors). In one implementation, the typing model 135 looks up potential candidates in a candidate database 210. In another implementation, the typing model 135 uses statistical-based modeling to generate probable candidates for the input text.

The sentence context model 216 may optionally send any previously input text in the sentence to the search engine 132 to be used by the typing model 135.

1 In the context of converting Pinyin to Hanzi, the probability $P(w|s)$ can be
2 restated as $P(H | P)$, where H represents a Hanzi string and P represents a Pinyin
3 string. The goal is to find the most probable Chinese character H' , so as to
4 maximize $P(H | P)$. Thus, the probability $P(H | P)$ is the likelihood that an entered
5 Pinyin string P is a valid Hanzi string H . Since P is fixed and hence $P(P)$ is a
6 constant for a given Pinyin string, Bayes formula reduces the probability $P(H | P)$,
7 as follows:

$$H' = \arg \max_H P(H | P) = \arg \max_H P(P | H) * P(H)$$

8
9
10
11 The probability $P(P | H)$ represents the spelling or typing model. Usually,
12 the Hanzi string H can be further decomposed into multiple words W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots ,
13 W_M , and the probability $P(P | H)$ can be estimated as:

$$\Pr(P | H) \approx \prod P(P_{f(i)} | W_i)$$

14
15
16
17 where $P_{f(i)}$ is the sequence of Pinyin characters that correspond to the word W_i .

18 In prior art statistically-based Pinyin-to-Hanzi conversion systems, the
19 probability $P(P_{f(i)} | W_i)$ is set to 1 if $P_{f(i)}$ is an acceptable spelling of word W_i and is
20 set to 0 if $P_{f(i)}$ is not an acceptable spelling of word W_i . As a result, conventional
21 systems provide no tolerance for any erroneously entered characters. Some
22 systems have the "southern confused pronunciation" feature to deal with this
23 problem, although this also employs the preset values probabilities of 1 and 0. In
24 addition, such systems only address a small fraction of typing errors because it is
25 not data-driven (learned from real typing errors).

In contrast, the language architecture described herein utilizes both the typing model and the language model to carry out a conversion. The typing model enables error tolerance to erroneously input characters by training the probability of $P(P_{f(i)} | W_i)$ from a real corpus. There are many ways to build typing models. In theory, all possible $P(P_{f(i)} | W_i)$ can be trained; but in practice, there are too many parameters. To reduce the number of parameters that need to be trained, one approach is to consider only single-character words and map all characters with equivalent pronunciation into a single syllable. In the Chinese language, there are approximately 406 syllables, so this is essentially training $P(\text{Pinyin text} | \text{syllable})$, and then mapping each character to its corresponding syllable. This is described below in more detail beneath the heading “Training the Typing Model”.

With the language architecture 131, a wide range of probabilities is computed. One goal of Pinyin-to-Hanzi conversion is to find the Hanzi string H that maximizes the probability $P(P | H)$. This is accomplished by selecting the W_i that yields the largest probability as the best Hanzi sequence. In practice, efficient searches like the well-known Viterbi Beam search may be used. For more information on the Viterbi Beam search, the reader is directed to an article by Kai-Fu Lee, entitled “Automatic Speech Recognition”, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989, and to writings by Chin-Hui Lee, Frank K. Soong, Kuldip K. Paliwal, entitled “Automatic Speech and Speaker Recognition -- Advanced Topics”, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.

The probability $P(H)$ represents the language model, which measures the *a priori* probability of any given string of words. A common approach to building a statistical language model is to utilize a prefix tree-like data structure to build an N-gram language model from a known training set of text. One example of a

widely used statistical language model is the N-gram Markov model, which is described in “Statistical Methods for Speech Recognition”, by Frederick Jelinek, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1997. The use of a prefix tree data structure (a.k.a. a suffix tree, or a PAT tree) enables a higher-level application to quickly traverse the language model, providing the substantially real-time performance characteristics described above. The N-gram language model counts the number of occurrences of a particular item (word, character, etc.) in a string (of size N) throughout a text. The counts are used to calculate the probability of the use of the item strings.

The language model 136 is preferably a trigram language model (i.e., an N-gram where $N=3$), although a bigram may be suitable in some contexts. Trigram language models are suitable for English and also work well for Chinese, assuming it utilizes a large training corpus.

A trigram model considers the two most previous characters in a text string to predict the next character, as follows:

(a) characters (C) are segmented into discrete language text or words (W) using a pre-defined lexicon, wherein each W is mapped in the tree to one or more C's;

(b) predict the probability of a sequence of words (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_M) from the previous two words:

$$P(W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots, W_M) \approx \prod P(W_n \mid W_{n-1}, W_{n-2}) \quad (1)$$

where $P(\cdot)$ represents the probability of the language text;

1 W_n is the current word

2 W_{n-1} is the previous word

3 W_{n-2} is the word previous to W_{n-1}

4
5 Fig. 3 illustrates an example of input text 300 that is input by a user and
6 passed to the typing model 135 and the language model 136. Upon receiving the
7 input text 300, the typing model 135 segments the input text 300 in different ways
8 to generate a list of probable typing candidates 302 that take into account possible
9 typographical errors made during keyboard entry. The typing candidates 302 have
10 different segmentations in each time frame such that the end-time of a previous
11 word is a start-time of a current word. For instance, the top row of candidates 302
12 segments the input string 300 "mafangnitryyis..." as "ma", "fan", "ni", "try", "yi",
13 and so on. The second row of typing candidate 302 segments the input string
14 "mafangnitryyis..." differently as "ma", "fang", "nit", "yu", "xia", and so on.

15 The candidates may be stored in a database, or some other accessible
16 memory. It will be appreciated that Fig. 3 is merely one example, and that there
17 might be a different number of probable typing candidates for the input text.

18 The language model 136 evaluates each segment of probable typing
19 candidates 302 in the context of the sentence and generates associated language
20 text. For illustration purposes, each segment of the probable typing text 302 and
21 the corresponding probable language text are grouped in boxes.

22 From the candidates, the search engine 134 performs statistical analysis to
23 determine which of the candidates exhibit the highest probability of being
24 intended by the user. The typing candidates in each row have no relation to one
25 another, so the search engine is free to select various segments from any row to

At step 404, the typing model 135 generates probable typing candidates based on the input text. One way to derive the candidates is to segment the input text string in different partitions and look up candidates in a database that most closely resemble the input string segment. For instance, in Fig. 3, candidate 302 has a segmentation that dictates possible segments “ma”, “fan”, and so forth.

The probable typing candidates are returned to the search engine 134, which in turn conveys them to the language model 136. The language model 136 combines the probable typing candidates with the previous text and generates one or more candidates of language text corresponding to the typing candidates. With reference to candidate 302 in Fig. 3, for example, the language model returns the language text in boxes 302 as possible output text.

At step 406, the search engine 134 performs statistical analysis to determine which of the candidates exhibit the highest probability of being intended by the user. Upon selecting the most probable typing candidate for the phonetic text, the search engine converts the input phonetic text to the language text associated with the typing candidate. In this manner, any entry error made by the user during entry of the phonetic text is eliminated. The search engine 134 returns the error-free language text to the UI 132 via the editor 204. At step 408, the converted language text is displayed at the UI 132 in the same in-line position on the screen that the user is continuing to enter phonetic text.

Training the Typing Model

As noted above, the typing model 135 is based on the probability $P(s|w)$. The typing model computes probabilities for different typing candidates that can be used to convert the input text to the output text and selects probable candidates.

1 In this manner, the typing model tolerates errors by returning the probable typing
2 candidates for the input text even though typing errors are present.

3 One aspect of this invention concerns training the typing model $P(s|w)$ from
4 real data. The typing model is developed or trained on text input by as many
5 trainers as possible, such as hundreds or preferably thousands. The trainers enter
6 the same or different training data and any variance between the entered and
7 training data is captured as typing errors. The goal is to get them to type the same
8 training text and determine the probabilities based on the numbers of errors or
9 typing candidates in their typing. In this way, the typing model learns
10 probabilities of trainers' typing errors.

11 Fig. 5 shows a training computer 500 having a processor 502, a volatile
12 memory 504, and a non-volatile memory 506. The training computer 500 runs a
13 training program 508 to produce probabilities 512 (i.e., $P(s|w)$) from data 510
14 entered by users. The training program 508 is illustrated as executing on the
15 processor 502, although it is loaded into the processor from storage on non-
16 volatile memory 506. Training computer 500 may be configured to train on data
17 510 as it is entered on the fly, or after it is collected and stored in memory.

18 For purposes of discussion, consider a typing model tailored for the
19 Chinese language, wherein Chinese Pinyin text is converted to Chinese character
20 text. In this case, several thousands of people are invited to input Pinyin text.
21 Preferably, several hundred sentences or more are collected from each person,
22 with the goal of getting them to make similar types and numbers of errors in their
23 typing. The typing model is configured to receive Pinyin text from the search
24 engine, and provide probable candidates that may be used to replace characters in
25 the input string.

Various techniques can be used to train the typing model 135. In one approach, the typing model is trained directly by considering a single character text and mapping all equivalently pronounced character text to a single syllable. For example, there are over four hundred syllables in Chinese Pinyin. The probability of phonetic text given a syllable (e.g., $P(\text{Pinyin text} \mid \text{syllable})$) is trained and then each character text is mapped to its corresponding syllable.

Fig. 6 shows the syllable mapping training technique 600. At step 602, the training program 508 reads a string of text entered by trainer. The text string may be a sentence or some other grouping of words and/or characters. The program 508 aligns or maps syllables to corresponding letters in the string of text (step 604). For each text string, the frequency of letters mapped to each syllable is updated (step 606). This is repeated for each text string contained in the training data entered by the trainers, as represented by the "Yes" branch from step 608. Eventually, the entered text strings will represent many or all syllables in Chinese Pinyin. Once all strings are read, as represented by the "No" branch from step 608, the training program determines the probability $P(\text{Pinyin text} \mid \text{syllable})$ of a user typing each syllable (step 610). In one implementation, the probability of typing is determined by first normalizing all syllables.

Each syllable can be represented as a hidden Markov model (HMM). Each input key can be viewed as a sequence of states mapped in HMM. The correct input and actual input are aligned to determine a transition probability between states. Different HMMs can be used to model typists with different skill levels.

To train all 406 syllables in Chinese, a large amount of data is needed. To reduce this data requirement, the same letter in different syllables is tied as one state. This reduces the number of states to 27 (i.e., 26 different letters from 'a' to

1 'z', plus one to represent an unknown letter). This model could be integrated into a
2 Viterbi beam search that utilizes a trigram language model.

3 In yet another training technique, training is based on the probability of
4 single letter edits, such as insertion of a letter (i.e., $\emptyset \rightarrow x$), deletion of a letter (i.e.,
5 $x \rightarrow \emptyset$), and substitution of one letter for another ($x \rightarrow y$). The probability of such
6 single letter edits can be represented statistically as:

7
8 Substitution: $P(x \text{ replaced by } y)$

9 Insertion: $P(x \text{ inserted before/after } y)$

10 Deletion: $P(x \text{ deleted before/after } y)$
11

12 Each probability (P) is essentially a bigram typing model, but could also be
13 extended to a N-gram typing model that considers a much broader context of text
14 beyond adjacent characters. Accordingly, for any possible string of input text, the
15 typing model has a probability of generating every possible letter sequence – by
16 first providing the correct letter sequence, and then using dynamic programming to
17 determine a lowest-cost path to convert the correct letter sequence to the given
18 letter sequence. Cost may be determined as the minimal number of error
19 characters , or some other measure. In practice, this error model can be
20 implemented as a part of the Viterbi Beam searching method.

21 It will be appreciated that any other types of errors, other than the typing
22 errors or spelling errors, can be trained within the scope of the invention. Also, it
23 will be appreciated that different training techniques can be used to train a typing
24 model without departing from the scope of the present invention.
25

Multilingual Training for Modeless Entry

Another annoying problem that plagues language input systems is the requirement to switch among modes when entering two or more languages. For instance, a user who is typing in Chinese may wish to enter an English word. Traditional input systems require the user to switch modes between typing English words and Chinese words. Unfortunately, it is easy for users to forget to switch.

The language input architecture 131 (Fig. 1) can be trained to accept mixed-language input, and hence eliminate mode shifting between two or more languages in a multilingual word processing system. This is referred to as "modeless entry".

The language input architecture implements a spelling/typing model that automatically distinguishes between words of different languages, such as discerning which word is Chinese and which word is English. This is not easy because many legal English words are also legal Pinyin strings. Additionally, since there are no spaces between Pinyin, English and Chinese characters, more ambiguities can arise during entry. Using Bayes rule:

$$H' = \arg \max_H P(H | P) = \arg \max_H P(P | H) * P(H)$$

the objective function may be characterized in two parts: a spelling model $P(P | H)$ for English and a language model $P(H)$ for Chinese.

One way to handle mixed-language input is to train the language model for a first language (e.g., Chinese) by treating words from a second language (e.g., English) as a special category of the first language. For instance, the words from the second language are treated as single words in the first language.

1 By way of example, suppose a Chinese-based word processing system uses
2 an English keyboard as an input device. The typing model employed in the
3 Chinese-based word processing system is a Chinese language model that is trained
4 on text having a mixture of English words and Chinese words.

5 A second way to handle mixed-language input is to implement two typing
6 models in the language input architecture, a Chinese typing model and an English
7 typing model, and train each one separately. That is, the Chinese typing model is
8 trained a stream of keyboard input, such as phonetic strings, entered by trainers in
9 the manner described above, and the English typing model is trained on English
10 text entered by English-speaking trainers.

11 The English typing model may be implemented as a combination of:

- 12
13 1. A unigram language model trained on real English inserted in Chinese
14 language texts. This model can handle many frequently used English
15 words, but it cannot predict an unseen English words.
- 16 2. An English spelling model of tri-syllable probabilities. This model
17 should has non-zero probabilities for every 3-syllable sequence, but also
18 generates a higher probability for words that are likely to be English-
19 like. This can be trained from real English words also, and can handle
20 unseen English words.

21
22 These English models generally return very high probabilities for English
23 text, high probabilities for letter strings that look like English text, and low
24 probabilities for non-English text.
25

When a string or sequence of input text is ambiguous, the Chinese and English typing models continue to compute probabilities until further context lends more information to disambiguate between Chinese and English. When a string or sequence of input text is not like either Chinese or English, the Chinese typing model is less tolerant than the English typing model. As a result, the English typing model has a higher probability than the Chinese typing model.

To illustrate a multi-language conversion, suppose a user inputs a text string “woaiduinternetzazhi”, which means “I love to read INTERNET magazines”. Upon receiving the initial string “woaidu”, the Chinese typing model yields a higher probability than the English typing model and converts that portion of the input text to “我爱读”. The architecture continues to find the subsequently typed portion “interne” ambiguous until letter “t” is typed. At this point, the English typing model returns a higher probability for “INTERNET” than the Chinese typing model and the language input architecture converts this portion of the input text to “INTERNET”. Next, the Chinese typing model exhibits a higher probability for “zazhi” than the English typing model and the language input architecture converts that portion of the input text to “杂志”.

Multi-Language Input Conversion

Fig. 8 illustrates a process 800 of converting a multilingual input text string entered with typographical errors into a multilingual output text string that is free of errors. The process is implemented by the language input architecture 700, and is described with additional reference to Fig. 7.

At step 802, the user interface 132 receives the multilingual input text string. It contains phonetic words (e.g., Pinyin) and words of at least one other

1 language (e.g., English). The input text may also include typographical errors
2 made by the user when entering the phonetic words and second language words.
3 The UI 132 passes the multilingual input text string via the editor 204 to the search
4 engine 134, which distributes the input text to the typing models 135(1)-135(N)
5 and the sentence context model 216.

6 Each of the typing models generates probable typing candidates based on
7 the input text, as represented by steps 804(1)-804(N). At step 806, the probable
8 typing candidates that possess reasonable probabilities are returned to the search
9 engine 134. At step 808, the search engine 134 sends the typing candidates with
10 typing probabilities to the language model 136. At step 810, the language model
11 combines the probable typing candidates with the previous text to provide
12 sentence-based context and generates one or more conversion candidates of
13 language text corresponding to the typing candidates by selecting a path through
14 the typing candidates, as described above with respect to Fig. 3. At step 812, the
15 search engine 134 performs statistical analysis to select the conversion candidates
16 that exhibit the highest probability of being intended by the user.

17 At step 814, the most probable conversion candidate for the text string is
18 converted into the output text string. The output text string includes language text
19 (e.g., Hanzi) and the second language (e.g., English), but omits the typing errors.
20 The search engine 134 returns the error-free output text to the UI 132 via the
21 editor 204. At step 816, the converted language text is displayed at the UI 132 in
22 the same in-line position on the screen that the user is continuing to enter phonetic
23 text.

24 In the above example, Chinese language is the primary language and
25 English is the secondary language. It will be appreciated that the two languages

1 can both be designated primary languages. Moreover, more than two languages
2 may form the mixed input text string.

3 4 **Conclusion**

5 Although the description above uses language that is specific to structural
6 features and/or methodological acts, it is to be understood that the invention
7 defined in the appended claims is not limited to the specific features or acts
8 described. Rather, the specific features and acts are disclosed as exemplary forms
9 of implementing the invention.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25